Formal Notice Addressing Grievances and Demanding Compliance with Legal Rights

Dear_____, Date_____

I am writing to bring to you notice to demand your attention to certain grievances that have come to the forefront, impacting the rights and well-being of the people in this nation. As a knowledgeable constitutionally protected person, I believe it is imperative to address these concerns in accordance with the laws that govern the relationship between the government and its people.

Right to Inform and Direct the Government:
The First Amendment to the United States Constitution guarantees the right to freedom of speech, including the right to petition the government for a redress of grievances. This fundamental right underscores the people's authority to inform and direct the government on matters of public concern. Thus, this redress of grievances shall be respected as such and responded to in an appropriate manner.

Compliance with People's Inquiry:
Federal law, specifically the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. §§ 551-559), requires government agencies to respond to inquiries from the public. I insist that the government promptly and thoroughly complies with any inquiries made by the people concerning the grievances at hand.

***Biological Weapons U.S. Code:**
The United States Code, Title 18, Section 175, addresses offenses related to the development and use of biological weapons. Any violation of this code poses a serious threat to public safety, and the government is obligated to take appropriate actions to prevent and address such threats.

Laws Protecting the People's Right to Self-Defense:
The Second Amendment to the United States Constitution guarantees the right of the people to keep and bear arms. *Additionally, state and federal laws recognize the inherent right of individuals to defend themselves when faced with imminent danger. Any attempt to infringe upon this right is a direct violation of the law.*

Color of Law:
The Civil Rights Act of 1871, also known as the "Ku Klux Klan Act," *and 42 U.S.C. § 1983, address violations of rights under the color of law. Any actions by government officials that deprive citizens of their constitutional rights are subject to legal consequences.*

Infringements & Grievances:

- Violation of **US CFR Title 42 Chapter I /Subchapter F /Part 73**
  § 73.11 Security.

  (a) An individual or entity required to register under this part must develop and implement a written security plan. The security plan must be sufficient to safeguard the select agent or toxin against unauthorized access, theft, loss, or release.

- SHC014/SHC015 + MA15 failed to meet required standards for NIH guidance on Synthetic and Selected Agents: Section III-C-1, Section III-B-2, Section IV-B-2, Section IV-B-7-a, Appendix B-IV-D, etc..

- WuH-CoV-1 publication January & February {Holmes et Zhang 2020] Fraudulent handling of a Selected Agent, Foreign Actor Violation. Publications could not have utilized recombinant chimera, SHC014.SHC015+MA15 as that nucleotide did not exist in the NIH database or any other public genomic publication until May 22, 2020. Inconsistency is compatible with research integrity & biosecurity guidelines. [**CDC, ICB, NIEHS, NSABB, P3CO]** Also applies to the publication by Saif et al [2020] https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7054935/
- Two authors of the Proximal Origins paper, Andrew Rambaut, & Edward C. Holmes COIs. Rambaut: Not only a "Proximal Origins" author, but also the creator and owner of Virological, a genomic sequence platform used amongst the scientific community and Holmes: another "Proximal Origins author, was the first to publish the SARS-CoV2 sequence on Jan, 10 2020 where he then published it to Genbank & Virological. What happened in the week between discovering the sample was a SARS coronavirus [Jan 3, 2020] til the day that Holmes uploaded it [Jan 10 2020]?
- EUA negligence in part of creating vaccines simultaneously while offering a SARS-CoV-2 countermeasure in the form of infusions; Monoclonal Antibodies. See, 88 CFR 30769
- CDC Director* Rochelle Walensky violated E.O 13295 giving the CDC director control of the US Borders and directive to close said borders in the event of a Health Emergency when there is evidence of an enhanced threat to the US relating to that border and its crossings into the United States. Boston University School of Law Review Spring 2018/Ulrich et Mariner

- COI involving Ralph Baric & UNC Chapel Hill for the creation of GS-5734 [Remdesivir/ Veklury.] UNC Chapel Hill went into a partnership with Gilead Sciences by Material Transfer Agreement [Aug 2017] for the evaluation, creation, and clinical trial preparations to bring Remdesivir to market.

- "Each State Party to this Convention undertakes not to transfer to any recipient whatsoever, directly or indirectly, and not in any way to assist, encourage, or induce any State, group of States or international organizations to manufacture or otherwise acquire any of the agents, toxins, weapons, equipment or means of delivery specified in Article I of the Convention." Biological Weapons Convention Article III
- "Nothing in this Convention shall be interpreted as in any way limiting or detracting from the obligations assumed by any State under the Protocol for the Prohibition of the Use in War of Asphyxiating, Poisonous or Other Gases, and of Bacteriological Methods of Warfare, signed at Geneva on 17 June 1925." Biological Weapons Convention Article VIII

- Under Section 319F-3 of the Public Health Service Act (PHS Act), the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) may issue a declaration that provides liability immunity (except for willful misconduct) for claims of loss caused by, arising out of, relating to, or resulting from the administration and use of countermeasures to diseases, threats, and conditions determined by the Secretary to constitute a present or credible risk of a future public health emergency to entities and individuals involved in the development, manufacture, testing, distribution, administration, and use of such countermeasures. A separate declaration under Section 319 or other statutes is not needed for immunity under the PREP Act to take effect unless the PREP Act declaration states that a public health or other emergency declaration is needed to trigger immunity.

- Of the 27 subagencies within the NIH system only one of them does not reside in the Metropolitan DC/Maryland Area; The National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences [NIEHS.] The NIEHS is housed within Triangle Park, North Carolina. Triangle Park North Carolina is in a COI with the entity and role of University of North Carolina Chapel Hill. Not only is UNC-CH a participant in Triangle Park but the NIEHS has directly worked with UNC-CH. Also, UNC Scientist, and co-scientist on the Remdesivir work, Mark Heise is also in agreement with Chimerix, a pharmaceutical company funded through the NC Biotech are within Triangle Park, NC. Gilead, which created Remdesivir is also a member within the same Triangle Park, in North Carolina & got into a MTA with UNC-CH & on the research were scientists Mark Heise & Ralph Baric,

- The concern of COIs within the Baric family and UNC Chapel Hill & NIH has yet to be accounted for. Baric's wife, Toni Baric is the lead grant specialist at UNC Chapel Hill [married 25+ years]. One son, Thomas Baric, is also a UNC virologist and was cited in this Feb 2020 HHS document which Thomas Baric was amongst the scientists giving guidance for C19 treatments to the HHS. https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/nvac_feb2020_day1_panel2.pdf One daughter of Baric's, Michelle Baric, is employed by Myriad Genetics, a company closely associated with the Human Genome Project out of HHS who's first director was Francis Collins, director of the NIH. Myriad genetics is infamous for trying taking it to the supreme court with their attempts to patent human cells. ASSOCIATION FOR MOLECULAR PATHOLOGY v. MYRIAD GENETICS, INC. 689 F. 3d 1303 [2013]. With Ralph Baric's role in SARS-CoV-2, his MTA with Moderna & NIH along with the MTA with Giliead and the consequences of those facts an inquiry must be made.

In light of the aforementioned legal principles, I formally insist that the government take the immediate and appropriate steps to address these grievances raised within this notice as I have prepared for you. This includes conducting a thorough investigation into the concerns raised, providing transparent, expeditious and comprehensive responses to inquiries & concerns compounded within this notice, and upon your legal obligation undertake the necessary actions to remedy any violations of law.

Failure to comply with these legal obligations may result in legal action being pursued to protect the rights and interests of the citizens. It is my hope that the government will prioritize the well-being and constitutional rights of its citizens in addressing these matters.

I appreciate your prompt attention to this matter and anticipate a swift and lawful resolution.

Sincerely,

_____ Date: _____